

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			1	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,829	11/13/2006	Guntu Srinivasa Rao	0895/97022	9249
24628 WELSH & KA	7590 09/07/200 TZ. LTD	EXAMINER		
120 S RIVERSIDE PLAZA			JAISLE, CECILIA M	
	22ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/572,829	RAO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cecilia M. Jaisle	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reduced in the community of the community o	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	2 March 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6, 7 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) 1-5, 8, 9,12,13, 16, 22 and 23 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 10,11,14,15 17 & 19-23 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 March 2006</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/26/06.	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date informal Patent Application 				

DETAILED OFFICE ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because the wording is not sufficiently legible. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Rejections Under 35 USC 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Art Unit: 1624

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 and rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the processes of claims 2-22, does not reasonably provide enablement for the broader limitations of these claims. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The specification fails to enable the process of these Claims as broadly as claimed therein. The broadest temperature range at which the specification teaches that the reaction can take place is 25 to 90 ° C, and the specification teaches that the condensation reaction must take place in the presence of a base. Limitation of these claims accordingly would overcome this rejection

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2, 3, 8, 9, 12, 13, 16, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 1. In claims 2, 3, 8 and 9, the use of the phrase "base (condensing agent)" is indefinite because it is not possible to determine if the two terms are meant to be synonyms, if the parenthetical phrase is meant to define or limit the preceding term, or if the two terms are meant to be equivalents for each other.
- 2. In claim 3, the identification of the second sub-paragraph as "(c) (b)" is confusing.

Art Unit: 1624

3. In claim 3, line 7, the word "then" should apparently be corrected to read --the--.

Page 4

4. In claim 3, step (d), the meaning of "acid-base work-up" is undefined.

5. In claim 12, the meaning of "back extracted" is undefined.

6. Claim 13 is ungrammatical, due to the phrase "selected group from."

7. Claims 2, 3 and 16 are indefinite in the recitation of "pure." The standard for this term is not defined.

8. Claim 22 fails to define the invention in failing to identify the temperature scale.

9. Claim 23 is an improper omnibus claim, because it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. See Ex parte Fressola, 27 USPQ2d 1608 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1993).

Improper Multiple Dependent Claims

Claims 6, 7 and 18 are improper multiple dependent claims, because they each depend from another multiple dependent claim. Claims 6, 7 and 18 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim may not depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 6, 7 and 18 have not been further treated on the merits.

Objected Claims - Allowable Subject Matter

Objection is made to claims 1, 10, 11, 14, 15, 17 and 19-23. Claims 1 and 20-23 are incomplete because they each fail to end with a period (.). Claims 10, 11, 14, 15, 17 and 19-21 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objections and the rejections

Art Unit: 1624

under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: US Patent 4,804,663 describes the preparation of risperidone by the N-alkylation of 6-fluoro-3-(4-piperidinyl)-1,2-benzisoxazole with 3-(2-chloroethyl)-6,7,8,9-tetrahydro-2-methyl-4H-pyrido[1,2-a]pyrimidin-4-one hydrochloride in dimethylformamide solvent using sodium carbonate base and potassium iodide catalyst. However, that patent does not show or suggest that the hydrochloride salt of the benzisoxazole compound may be used in the reaction nor the solvents of the presently claimed process.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cecilia M. Jaisle, J.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-9931. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday; 8:30 am through 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/572,829

Art Unit: 1624

Page 6

Man Ban

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cecilia M. Jaisle, J.D.

9/4/2007